



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/825,968	04/15/2004	Lawrence C. Lee	ADNAS 04.002	8220
480/8	7590	12/01/2009		
KERR IP GROUP, LLC				
MICHAEL A. KERR				
P.O. BOX 22028				
CARSON CITY, NV 89721				
EXAMINER				
JOLLEY, KIRSTEN				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1792				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
12/01/2009		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

MICK@INVENT.NET

mmk@invent.net

staci@invent.net

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/825,968

Applicant(s)

LEE ET AL.

Examiner

Kirsten C. Jolley

Art Unit

1792

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 July 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-55 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 28-36, 38, 40 and 44-53 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-27, 37, 39, 41-43, 54 and 55 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB006)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ ~~Notes of Informal Patent Application~~
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. It is noted that the status identifiers for claims 38, 40, and 44 should be "Withdrawn" instead of "Original" since the claims have been withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected species.
2. Further, it is noted that claims 28-33 were mistakenly listed as rejected in the prior Office action. This was a typographical error. The claims should have been listed as withdrawn since they are directed to a non-elected invention, see Applicant's response of January 20, 2009. It is noted that Applicant's status identifiers for claims 28-33 correctly indicate that the claims are "Withdrawn."

Response to Arguments/Amendments

3. The 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph rejections set forth in the prior Office action have been withdrawn in response to Applicant's amendments to the claims.
4. Applicant's arguments filed July 28, 2009 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Applicant stated that the Rancien et al. reference (US 2004/0063117) is invalid prior art because the date of the reference is its publication date of April 1, 2004, and that the reference is not entitled to its international filing date because the international publication was in French, not English. Applicant is correct and the 35 USC 103(a) rejections over the US 2004/0063117 reference to Rancien et al. have been withdrawn.

However, new rejections are made over the reference WO 02/057548, which is already of record in the case. WO 02/057548 is the earlier WIPO publication of the Rancien et al. reference, and has a publication date of July 25, 2002.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1-27, 37, 39, 41-43, and 54-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 02/057548 A1.

It is noted that US 2004/0063117 to Rancien et al., previously cited, is used as a working English translation of WO '548, and that the paragraph numbers cited are those of the US 2004/0063117 reference.

With respect to independent claims 1, 11, 20, WO '548. discloses a method for authenticating an object, particularly for authenticating paper intended for serving as the medium of works of art or other documents of value (paragraph [0005]). WO '548 teaches the steps of selecting a unique nucleic acid marker having a specific length and a specific sequence; selecting a media that causes said marker to adhere to a fibrous material (paragraph [0033]); mixing said media with said marker to generate a nucleic acid marker mixture; applying said nucleic acid marker mixture to fibers (paragraph [0018]); generating a marked fibrous material by causing said nucleic acid marker mixture to adhere to said fibers; producing a paper material by using

one or more marked fibers (paragraph [0043]); and authenticating the paper material by detecting said unique nucleic acid marker in said marked fibrous material, said nucleic acid detected with primers particular to said unique nucleic acid having said specific length and said specific sequence (paragraph [0036]). It is noted that WO '548 is directed to making a paper, and lacks a teaching of forming a textile material from the marked fibers. However, WO '548 teaches use of its invention to make "paper for serving as the medium of works of art," and the Examiner takes Official notice that the medium of works of art is often on canvas. Canvas is a textile material that is similarly formed from fibers. It is the Examiner's position that one having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to similarly form a canvas material instead of paper with the marked fibers produced by the process of WO '548 upon seeing WO '548's teaching that it is desirable to authenticate the medium of works of art. Further, one would expect similar results because the manufacture of a textile and paper would both be formed from marked fibers, and the only difference is a subsequent knitting/weaving process in place of a mass papermaking process.

As to claims 2-3, WO '548 discloses use of polyurethane as the media (paragraph [0033]), which is a polymer.

As to independent claim 11 and claim 12, WO '548 teaches that the marker mixture may be applied to the fibers after they are made by a dyeing process (paragraph [0018]).

As to claims 4-5, 13-14, 21-22, it is noted that a canvas used for painting is a product manufactured from fibrous materials, and may be considered a home or consumer product.

As to claims 6-7, 15-16, 23-24, WO '548 teaches use of DNA as the nucleic acid. However, it would have been obvious to have similarly used RNA since WO '548 is generally

directed to the use of nucleic acid and RNA is commonly known to be the other nucleic acid (paragraph [0042]).

As to claims 8-10, 17-19, 25-27, WO '548 teaches that the nucleic acid marker of its invention helps to identify and authenticate an object. It would have been well within the skill of an ordinary artisan to have associated the DNA marker with a plurality of product information such as the product's origin or supply chain or manufacturing information as the purpose for the DNA marker.

As to independent claim 37, WO '548 teaches spraying in paragraph [0079], and application on fibers in paragraphs [0018]-[0019]. As to claims 39 and 41, WO '548 generally discloses that the DNA marker fluid may be applied at any stage during production of a fiber or after a fiber has been made, as well as during the paper-making step. It would have similarly been obvious to have sprayed the DNA marker fluid during a knitting/weaving process step in the process of making a textile material, as discussed above, with the expectation of successful results since WO '548 generally discloses addition of the marker fluid at any point during production. As to claim 42, WO '548 is silent with respect to the use of rayon as the fiber. However it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art to have selected other fibrous materials for the production of a canvas material as a matter of design preference with the expectation of similar and successful results.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Sheu et al. (US 2002/0187263), Sheu et al. (US 7,115,301), WO 03/080931 A1, WO 90/14441 A1, Lebacqz (US 5,139,812), Kosak et al. (US 2005/0214532), Tooth (US 4,183,989), and Hoshino et al. (US 5,602,381) are cited to demonstrate the state of the prior art with respect to Applicant's claimed invention.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kirsten C. Jolley whose telephone number is 571-272-1421. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Tuesday and Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kirsten C Jolley/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792

kcj